Chairman of the Assembly

at the request of the School Board CLERK'S OFFICE Prepared by: Anchorage School District APPROVED March 12, 2013 For Reading: -26 13 Dele: 1 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA AO NO. 2013-39 2 3 AN ORDINANCE DETERMINING AND APPROVING THE TOTAL AMOUNT 4 OF THE ANNUAL OPERATING BUDGET OF THE ANCHORAGE SCHOOL 5 DISTRICT FOR ITS FISCAL YEAR 2013-2014 AND DETERMINING AND 6 APPROPRIATING THE PORTION OF THE ASSEMBLY APPROVED BUDGET 7 AMOUNT TO BE MADE AVAILABLE FROM LOCAL SOURCES 8 9 10 THE ANCHORAGE ASSEMBLY ORDAINS: 11 12 Section 1. That the FY 2013-2014 Proposed Anchorage School District 13 Financial Plan in the amount of \$837,098,347 has been approved by the Anchorage 14 Assembly and that, of said amount, the amount of \$233,419,669 is the amount of 15 money to be contributed from local property taxes or other local sources and is 16 hereby appropriated for school purposes to fund the School District for its 17 18 2013-2014 fiscal year. 19 20 Section 2. That this ordinance is effective upon passage and approval. 21 22 23 PASSED AND APPROVED by the Anchorage Assembly, this 26th day of 24 march 25 2013. 26 27 28 Chair of the Assembly 29 30 ATTEST 31 32 33 Municipal Clerk

Submitted by:

1	*****	NAT.	JNICIPALITY OF	ANCHOD	ACE		
2	To the state of th	171.0	DIVICII ALII I OI	ANCHON	AGE		
3			ASSEMBLY MEM	ORANDUI	M		
4							
5			AM 112-2	2013			
6							
7	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			Meetir	ng Date:	March 12	2, 2013
8	EDOM.	A NICTION A	CECCIOOI DI	ידיסורידי			
9 10	FROM:	ANCHORA	AGE SCHOOL DIS	SIKICI			
10	SUBJECT:	A () 2013-39	ANCHORAGE S	CHOOL D	ISTRICT		
12	DODJECT.		14 FINANCIAL P		omer		
13		* * ***********************************					
14	PROPOSEL	D FINANCIA	L PLAN				
15							
16	ł .		Board has approv		_		
17			in the amount of		7. The to	tal recon	nmended
18	budget by in	ndividual fun	nd projected is as f	follows:			
19					-	6 To 11	
20			TD / 0040 004 4		Percent of	of Fundii	ng
21	1		FY 2013-2014		Local	-	T 1 1
22	Fund		Financial Plan		Other	State	<u>Federal</u>
23	General		\$ 572,624,180	34	1	62	3
24	Food Servic		19,417,637	417	25	EO	75
25 26	Debt Service	e	86,314,465	47	4	53 3	06
26 27	Grants		41,742,065		1	3	96
27 28	Total		\$ 720,098,347				
29	Percent of R	Revenue Sour	ces to Total	28	1	62	9
30	I ciccit of i	revenue bour	ccs to rotar	20	1	<u> </u>	
31	State of Ala	ska TRS/PER	RS On-Behalf Allo	rations	\$	117,000,0	00
32						227,000,0	
33	Total ALL F	FUNDS			\$8	337,098,3	47
34							
35	It is request	ted that the A	nchorage Assemb	oly approve	local pro	perty ta	xes in the
36	_		9 and the uppe				
37	1	7 for FY 2013-			. 0		
38							
39	THE STRAT	TEGIC GOAL	S				
40							
41	The Anchor	rage School	Board has set his	gh perform	ance exp	ectation	s for our
42			ners, administrato				
43	1		the goals set for 2	2020 in the	strategic	plan des	cribed in
44	"Destination	n 2020″ inclu	ding:				

- 90 percent of students will be proficient in reading, writing, and mathematics
- Every student will show one year or greater growth in reading, writing, and mathematics
- 90 percent of students will graduate high school

- Every student will attend school at least 90 percent of the time
- 90 percent of parents recommend their child's school to others
- 100 percent of staff and students feel safe at school
- The district will rate in the top 25 percent of urban schools in *every* key performance indicator

To stretch to reach these high performance goals by 2020, the Board looks forward to working with the Assembly, Mayor, Governor, Legislature, students, parents, teachers, administrators, business leaders and concerned citizens across our community to realign the district on high standards, high quality instruction and shifting resources from support to direct classroom instruction.

THE LONG RANGE FISCAL OUTLOOK

After a decade of funding increases from State, Local and Federal sources, the fiscal outlook for the next six years appears likely to shift to flat to declining funding in nominal terms.¹

The resulting challenge is succinctly stated in the State of Alaska Office of Management and Budget 10-year plan,

Anticipated growth in areas such as Medicaid, employee health care costs and retirement systems costs also drive up the budget over time. The Administration engages in discussions with legislators on how best to control these costs, recognizing that downward adjustments to statutory formulas will be necessary as oil revenues decline. [emphasis added]²

As the District moves into a future with a flat to declining revenue outlook, we are challenged by the past trend of providing salary and especially benefit increases that typically exceeded inflation -- increases made possible by increased funding from State, Local and Federal sources. The increased funding not only enabled salary and benefit increases in excess of inflation, but also enabled the District to increase employee levels by around 13% while enrollment declined 1%. Much of the increase in employees was in support functions, including teaching assistants, information & technology support

¹ Please see Board Memo #90, November 5, 2012, and Board Memo #54, November 15, 2012, for an in-depth discussion of the long range fiscal challenges faced by the District.

² State of Alaska Office of Management & Budget, Ten Year Plan, January, 2013, page 5.

 positions. Some of the increase can be attributed to increases in spending on a variety of support programs.

Under flat funding projections and trend line increases in salary and benefits, the district will need to reduce spending on the order of \$25 million a year over the next six years, requiring a workforce reduction on the order of 250 positions per year [~4% each year].

Even if salary and benefit increases can be brought down to inflation, the continued prospects for declining funding from federal and state sources, and potential declines in the local property tax base associated with federal sequestration present a combination of circumstances that will require large and continued reductions in workforce.¹

The near term and long term challenge is how to fashion staffing levels and a total compensation package that will provide high quality instruction, attract and retain quality employees, while balancing the budget in a flat to declining revenue environment.

LONG RANGE PLAN

The District commissioned the Council of Great City Schools (CGCS) to conduct a study of its staffing levels that was completed in June, 2012. The staffing level review indicates that the District is overweight in support functions.

Description	Anchorage	Great City	Pct
Library & media support	0.58%	0.09%	644%
Instructional coordinators & supervisors	0.97%	0.46%	211%
Librarians & media specialists	1.24%	0.83%	149%
Instructional Aides	15.31%	10.76%	142%
Guidance Counselors	2.02%	1.67%	121%
School Administrative Support	4.78%	3.97%	120%
Student support and all support services	23.01%	23.40%	98%
Total Teachers	47.95%	50.24%	95%
School Administrators	2.25%	2.69%	84%

¹ See for example, Board Memo #54, November 15, 2012, Appendix 5, where holding salaries and benefits to inflation still requires a 1% per year reduction in TRS positions and a 4% per year reduction in PERS positions in order to balance the budget over six years.

In addition, the staffing level review of central support functions, identified areas where the District needed to reduce and realign staffing levels.¹

After the review of peer group staffing levels, the Board determined that the District needed to increase overall proportion of resources to classroom instruction in a flat to declining revenue environment by reducing support functions and preserving direct classroom instruction to the extent possible.

 Furthermore, the Board reaffirmed its commitment to develop *differentiated* classroom instruction across the district by providing training and professional development and new streamlined technology for assessment and formative evaluation of students so that students receive instruction targeted toward their particular challenges rather than assuming one size fits all.

In short, the Board is realigning the District on more direct classroom instruction, more targeted classroom efforts and high quality instruction. The challenging fiscal environment heightens the need to move quickly, but not precipitously, toward realignment over the next three to five years.

FY2013-2014 BUDGET DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

On July 1, 2012, the Superintendent began a process to review all open positions and new hires for alignment with District goals and consistency with the long range plan to reduce support functions and increase the proportion of resources targeted to direct classroom instruction. Many positions were eliminated and responsibilities consolidated or reassigned. The "managed attrition" resulted in an annualized savings of roughly \$4 million by October. Shortly thereafter, the District initiated its formal budget development process with a pair of memos describing the revenue and expense outlook for FY2013-2014, the salary and benefit cost drivers, staffing levels and the long term challenge to bring reduce the expense trend line by \$25 million in order to balance the budget to the revenue projections. The Board reaffirmed its alignment on direct classroom instruction, providing a slight increase in teaching staffing levels, and reducing support functions.

The Superintendent and management team consulted with principals, teachers, and various stakeholders, reviewed peer group comparisons and provided the School Board with a balanced preliminary budget on January 17th. The balanced budget was achieved through a combination of 215 position reductions in support functions and a 20% reduction in supplies and materials across the district.

¹ See Attachment C, Board Memo #54, November 15, 2012, Appendix 4b3.

The School Board reviewed the budget and asked a series of questions on a wide range of issues. The Board was provided a response to their questions at the early meeting of February 4th [see Attachment B].

After public hearings on the budget and in consultation with the principals, the Superintendent's recommended budget was revised to slow the rate of reduction in counseling services in order to provide a less disruptive transition toward staffing levels more reflective of peer group comparisons. The preliminary budget reduced the number of positions in the counseling suite by 21%, the revised recommendation reduced the number of positions in the counseling suite by 15%.

The net result is the proposed *direct school district* budget of \$720.1 million – a reduction of roughly 6% from the current year's budget.

FISCAL YEAR BUDGET COMPARISON

The following schedule compares by fund the FY 2013-2014 projected revenue/expenditure budgets with those currently approved for FY 2012-2013.

REVENUE/EXPENDITURE BUDGETS (millions of \$)

	Revised Budget	Proposed Budget	Over	/(Under)
<u>Fund</u>	FY 2012-2013	FY 2013-2014	Amount	Percent
General	\$577.995	\$572.624	\$ (5.371)	(0.9%)
Food Service	19.043	19.418	0.375	2.0%
Debt Service	86.611	86.314	(0.343)	(0.3%)
Local/State/Federal Grants	s 81.500	41.742	(39.758)	(48.8%)
Total District Budget	<u>\$765.149</u>	<u>\$720.098</u>	<u>\$(45.051)</u>	(5.9%)

TAX ESTIMATES (millions of \$)

		Proposed		
	Revised	Budget	Increase/	
<u>FY</u>	2012-2013	FY 2013-2014	(Decrease)	<u>Percent</u>
Total Municipal Support (FY)	<u>\$239.963</u>	<u>\$233.420</u>	<u>\$(6.543)</u>	(2.8%)
Est. Assessed Valuation (CY)	\$31,529.3	\$32,252.9	\$723.6	2.3%
Estimated Mill Rate (CY)	7.57	7.30	(0.27)	(3.6%)

1	STUDENT ENROLLMENT PR	ROJECTIONS		
2				
3		FY 2012-2013	FY 2013-2014	Change Over
4	T II (ATDAG)	Sept. 30, 2012	Sept. 30, 2013	Prior Year
5	Enrollment (ADM)	48,734	48,816	+82 +0.2%
6 7	Total District Devices (Consus A)	OM) \$15,701	\$14,751	\$(950) (6.1%)
8	Total District Budget (\$ per AI	JW1) \$15,701	Ψ± ± ,/ U1	ψ(200) (0.1 <i>7</i> 0)
9	SUMMARY			
10				
11	Anchorage faces a tough	challenge - how	to support its st	udents, parents,
12	teachers, principals, admini	<u> </u>		
13	to achieve higher performa	_		
14	that has been faced by many			
15	downturn in 2008. The c			
16	combined a focus on data-			
17	continuously improve, and	a passion to make	e education a top pri	iority.
18	TI - TV 2012 2014 D	1 17: :- 1 1D1	ad Dardant and Com	- (1 A1
19 20	The FY 2013-2014 Proposed School Board's commitment			
21	challenging fiscal environm	1 0	the education of <u>a</u>	<u>n</u> students ni a
22	chancing its are environment	CIII.		
	TITL A I C.I I ID			
23	The Anchorage School D	istrict requests t	he full support of	the Anchorage
23 24	The Anchorage School D Assembly for this budget			
24 25		and in the ongoi	ng efforts to genera	ite a community
24 25 26	Assembly for this budget	and in the ongoi	ng efforts to genera	ite a community
24 25 26 27	Assembly for this budget dialogue that focuses on	and in the ongoing building one o	ng efforts to genera f the best school	ite a community
24 25 26 27 28	Assembly for this budget dialogue that focuses on	and in the ongoing building one o	ng efforts to genera	ite a community
24 25 26 27 28 29	Assembly for this budget dialogue that focuses on	and in the ongoing building one o	ng efforts to genera f the best school	ite a community
24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Assembly for this budget dialogue that focuses on	and in the ongoing building one o	ng efforts to genera f the best school	ite a community
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Assembly for this budget dialogue that focuses on	and in the ongoing building one of Respectfull	ng efforts to general f the best school y submitted,	ite a community
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Assembly for this budget dialogue that focuses on	and in the ongoing building one of the Respectfull Jim Browdo	ng efforts to general f the best school y submitted,	ite a community
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Assembly for this budget dialogue that focuses on	and in the ongoing building one of Respectfull	ng efforts to general f the best school y submitted,	ite a community
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Assembly for this budget dialogue that focuses on	and in the ongoing building one of the Respectfull Jim Browdo	ng efforts to general f the best school y submitted,	ite a community
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Assembly for this budget dialogue that focuses on	and in the ongoing building one of the Respectfull Jim Browdo	ng efforts to general f the best school y submitted,	ite a community
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Assembly for this budget dialogue that focuses on country. JB/CS/MF	and in the ongoing building one of the Respectfull Jim Browdo	ng efforts to general f the best school y submitted,	ite a community
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Assembly for this budget dialogue that focuses on country.	and in the ongoing building one of the Respectfull Jim Browdo	ng efforts to general f the best school y submitted,	ite a community
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Assembly for this budget dialogue that focuses on country. JB/CS/MF Attachments:	and in the ongoing building one of the Respectfull Jim Browder Superintent	ng efforts to genera f the best school y submitted, er dent	ite a community
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Assembly for this budget dialogue that focuses on country. JB/CS/MF Attachments: Board Overview, February	and in the ongoing building one of the Respectfull Jim Browder Superintent 21 (Attachment A	ng efforts to general fithe best school y submitted, er dent	te a community districts in the
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Assembly for this budget dialogue that focuses on country. JB/CS/MF Attachments: Board Overview, February Board Overview and Summ	and in the ongoing building one of Major Vari	ng efforts to general f the best school y submitted, er dent	te a community districts in the
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Assembly for this budget dialogue that focuses on country. JB/CS/MF Attachments: Board Overview, February	and in the ongoing building one of Major Vari	ng efforts to general f the best school y submitted, er dent	te a community districts in the